

SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY
 TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP
 Alan R. Plutzik, Of Counsel (Bar No. 077785)
 Robert M. Bramson, Of Counsel (Bar No. 102006)
 L. Timothy Fisher, Of Counsel (Bar No. 191626)
 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
 Walnut Creek, California 94589
 Telephone: (925) 945-0770
 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792

-and-

Eric Zagar
 Nichole Browning
 J. Daniel Albert
 280 King of Prussia Road
 Radnor, PA 19087
 Telephone: (610) 667-7706
 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056
ezagar@sbtclaw.com
nbrowning@sbtclaw.com
dalbert@sbtclaw.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ANDREW WALTER, Derivatively on
 Behalf of Nominal Defendant SONIC
 SOLUTIONS,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT J. DORIS, MARY C. SAUER,
 JAMES A. MOORER, MICHAEL C.
 CHILD, ROBERT M. GREBER, PETER J.
 MARGUGLIO, R. WARREN LANGLEY,
 A. CLAY LEIGHTON, KIRK PAULSEN,
 MICHAEL J. COSTELLO and
 CHRISTOPHER A. KRYZAN,

Defendant,

and

SONIC SOLUTIONS,

Nominal Defendant.

Case No.: 07-02344-CW

**ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
 TO CONSIDER WHETHER
 CASES SHOULD BE RELATED
 PURSUANT TO L.R.3-12(B)**

JAMES FORSETH, Derivatively on
Behalf of Nominal Defendant SONIC
SOLUTIONS,

Plaintiff,

v.

ROBERT J. DORIS, MARY C. SAUER,
JAMES A. MOORER, MICHAEL C.
CHILD, ROBERT M. GREBER, PETER J.
MARGUGLIO, R. WARREN LANGLEY,
A. CLAY LEIGHTON, KIRK PAULSEN,
MICHAEL J. COSTELLO and
CHRISTOPHER A. KRYZAN,

and

SONIC SOLUTIONS,

Nominal Defendant.

Case No.: 07-03178-JL

Plaintiff Andrew Walter hereby moves for consideration of whether *James Forseth v. Doris, et al.*, Case No. 07-03178, filed on June 15, 2007 is related to the earlier filed case *Andrew Walter v. Doris, et al.*, Case No. 07-02344-CW, filed on May 2, 2007.

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-12(a), these actions are related. They involve substantially the same parties and events. These two actions are derivative cases and are brought against the same defendants for breach of fiduciary duty, and violations of California and Federal. Their allegations are substantively identical. It appears likely that there will be an unduly burdensome duplication of labor and expense and potentially conflicting results if this case is conducted before a different judge. Therefore, assignment of a single judge is likely to conserve judicial resources and promote an efficient determination of these actions.

Dated: June 20, 2007

SCHIFFRIN BARROWAY
TOPAZ & KESSLER, LLP

/s/

Alan R. Plutzik, Of Counsel (Bar No. 077785)

1 L. Timothy Fisher, Of Counsel (Bar No. 191626)
2 2125 Oak Grove Road, Suite 120
3 Walnut Creek, California 94598
4 Telephone: (925) 945-0770
5 Facsimile: (925) 945-8792

6 -and-

7 Eric Zagar
8 Nichole Browning
9 J. Daniel Albert
10 280 King of Prussia Road
11 Radnor, PA 19087
12 Telephone: (610) 667-7706
13 Facsimile: (610) 667-7056
14 ezagar@sbtclaw.com
15 nbrowning@sbtclaw.com
16 dalbert@sbtclaw.com

17 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
18 *Andrew Walter and James Forseth*
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28